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Capital Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Expected Rating</th>
<th>Expected Rating Outlook</th>
<th>Amount ($ M)</th>
<th>CE (%)</th>
<th>Interest Rate (%)</th>
<th>Final Maturity</th>
<th>TT (%)</th>
<th>TTLM (x)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>AAAsf</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>322.50</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>3ML + 1.25</td>
<td>Oct. 2030</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>NRsf</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>57.50</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>3ML + 1.70</td>
<td>Oct. 2030</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>NRsf</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>3ML + 2.20</td>
<td>Oct. 2030</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>NRsf</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>3ML + 3.20</td>
<td>Oct. 2030</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>NRsf</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3ML + 5.10</td>
<td>Oct. 2030</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subordinated Notes a</td>
<td>NRsf</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>51.60</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>Oct. 2030</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>511.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expected ratings do not reflect final ratings and are based on information provided by the issuer as of Sept. 18, 2017. These expected ratings are contingent on final documents conforming to information already received. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any security. The offering circular and other material should be reviewed prior to any purchase. Credit enhancement (CE) is based on the target par amount of $500.0 million. Includes class A1, A2 and P notes. Transaction documents provide mechanisms to replace LIBOR with an alternative rate under certain scenarios that involve consent of a majority of the controlling class at the discretion of the CLO manager. TT = Tranche thickness. TTLM = Tranche thickness loss multiple. NR = Not rated. N.A = Not applicable. 3ML = Three-month LIBOR.

Transaction Summary

Regatta VIII Funding Ltd. (the issuer) and Regatta VIII Funding LLC (the co-issuer) constitute an arbitrage cash flow collateralized loan obligation (CLO) that will be managed by Regatta Loan Management LLC (RLM). Net proceeds from the issuance of the secured notes and subordinated notes will be used to purchase a portfolio of approximately $500 million of primarily senior secured leveraged loans. The CLO will have an approximately five-year reinvestment period and a two-year noncall period.

Key Rating Drivers

Sufficient Credit Enhancement: Credit enhancement (CE) of 36.5% for class A notes, in addition to excess spread, is sufficient to protect against portfolio default and recovery rate projections in the ‘AAAsf’ stress scenarios. The degree of CE available to class A notes is below the average CE of recent ‘AAAsf’ CLO issuances; however, cash flow modeling results indicate performance in line with other CLO notes rated ‘AAAsf’ by Fitch Ratings.

‘B/B+’ Asset Quality: The average credit quality of the indicative portfolio is ‘B/B+’, which is comparable with recent CLOs. Issuers rated in the ‘B’ rating category denote a highly speculative credit quality; however, in Fitch Ratings’ opinion, class A notes are unlikely to be affected by the foreseeable level of defaults. Class A notes are projected to be able to withstand default rates of up to 60.5%.

Strong Recovery Expectations: The indicative portfolio consists of 97.5% first lien senior secured loans and 2.5% second lien loans. Approximately 90.6% of the indicative portfolio has strong recovery prospects or a Fitch-assigned recovery rating of ‘RR2’ or higher, resulting in a base case recovery assumption of 78.2%. In determining the class A notes’ rating, Fitch stressed the indicative portfolio by assuming a higher portfolio concentration of assets with lower recovery prospects and further reduced recovery assumptions for higher rating stresses, resulting in a 37.1% recovery rate in Fitch’s ‘AAAsf’ scenario.
### Transaction Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Regatta VIII Funding</th>
<th>Regatta IX Funding</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collateral Manager</strong></td>
<td>RLM</td>
<td>RLM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target Portfolio Amount ($ Mil)</strong></td>
<td>500.0</td>
<td>400.0</td>
<td>537.1</td>
<td>300.0</td>
<td>1,560.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Closing Date</strong></td>
<td>9/27/17</td>
<td>5/25/17</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reinvestment (Years)</strong></td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noncall (Years)</strong></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maturity Date</strong></td>
<td>10/17/30</td>
<td>4/17/30</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAA Spread (bps)</strong></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes — Credit Enhancement**

- **AAA CE (%)**
  - RLM: 35.5
  - RLM: 36.0
  - Average: 37.2
  - Minimum: 32.4
  - Maximum: 44.6

**Structure**

- **Senior CIC Test (Class)**
  - A
  - A/B
  - —
  - —
  - —

- **Initial Senior CIC Test Cushion (%)**
  - A: 10.0
  - A/B: 10.0
  - —
  - —
  - —

**Portfolio Covenants and Concentration**

- **Max. WAL (Years)**
  - RLM: 9.0
  - RLM: 9.0
  - Average: 8.6
  - Minimum: 6.0
  - Maximum: 10.0

- **Initial Target Moody’s WARF**
  - RLM: 2714
  - RLM: 2662
  - Average: 2779
  - Minimum: 1850
  - Maximum: 3300

- **Min. WAS (%)**
  - RLM: 3.50
  - RLM: 3.45
  - Average: 3.55
  - Minimum: 3.10
  - Maximum: 4.10

- **Initial WAS All-in Rate (%)**
  - RLM: 3.69
  - RLM: 3.48
  - Average: 3.68
  - Minimum: 3.17
  - Maximum: 4.26

- **Max. Fixed Assets (%)**
  - RLM: 5.0
  - RLM: 5.0
  - Average: 6.76
  - Minimum: 3.65
  - Maximum: 7.50

- **Min. WAC (%)**
  - RLM: 7.50
  - RLM: 7.50
  - Average: 6.76
  - Minimum: 3.65
  - Maximum: 7.50

- **Max. Single Obligor (Top Three) (%)**
  - RLM: 2.5
  - RLM: 2.5
  - Average: 2.5
  - Minimum: 2.0
  - Maximum: 3.0

- **Max. Single Obligor (Below Top Three) (%)**
  - RLM: 2.0
  - RLM: 2.0
  - Average: 2.0
  - Minimum: 1.5
  - Maximum: 2.0

- **Max. Single Industry (Largest) (%)**
  - RLM: 15.0
  - RLM: 15.0
  - Average: 14.7
  - Minimum: 12.0
  - Maximum: 15.0

- **Max. Single Industry (Second Largest) (%)**
  - RLM: 12.0
  - RLM: 12.0
  - Average: 12.2
  - Minimum: 10.0
  - Maximum: 15.0

- **Max. Single Industry (Third Largest) (%)**
  - RLM: 12.0
  - RLM: 12.0
  - Average: 11.4
  - Minimum: 9.0
  - Maximum: 13.5

- **Max. Single Industry (Fourth Largest) (%)**
  - RLM: 10.0
  - RLM: 12.0
  - Average: 10.6
  - Minimum: 7.5
  - Maximum: 13.6

- **Max. Single Industry (Below Top Four) (%)**
  - RLM: 10.0
  - RLM: 10.0
  - Average: 10.0
  - Minimum: 7.5
  - Maximum: 12.0

- **Min. Senior Secured (%)**
  - RLM: 90.0
  - RLM: 90.0
  - Average: 91.3
  - Minimum: 90.0
  - Maximum: 96.0

- **Max. Second Lien (%)**
  - RLM: 10.0
  - RLM: 10.0
  - Average: 8.6
  - Minimum: 4.0
  - Maximum: 10.0

- **Max. Subordinate (%)**
  - RLM: 0.0
  - RLM: 0.0
  - Average: 0.1
  - Minimum: 0.0
  - Maximum: 10.0

- **Max. Senior Unsecured (%)**
  - RLM: 10.0
  - RLM: 10.0
  - Average: 8.5
  - Minimum: 0.0
  - Maximum: 10.0

- **Max. Covenant-Lite (%)**
  - RLM: 60.0
  - RLM: 60.0
  - Average: 66.2
  - Minimum: 50.0
  - Maximum: 90.0

- **Max. Long-Dated Collateral (%)**
  - RLM: 0.0
  - RLM: 0.0
  - Average: 0.3
  - Minimum: 0.0
  - Maximum: 15.0

- **Max. Other Than U.S. (%)**
  - RLM: 20.0
  - RLM: 20.0
  - Average: 19.4
  - Minimum: 10.0
  - Maximum: 20.0

---

**Related Research**

- **U.S. CLO Index: Elevated Cash Balances, More WAS Failures (July 2017)**
- **Global CLO Market Trends Quarterly (July 2017)**
- **Fitch U.S. Leveraged Loan Default Insight (August 2017)**

---

**Asset Analysis**

The Fitch Portfolio Credit Model (PCM) was used to determine hurdle default rates (rating default rates, or RDRs) and expected portfolio recovery rates (rating recovery rates, or RRRs) for the ‘AAAsf’ rating level. The PCM was run on the indicative portfolio, as well as a Fitch stressed portfolio created according to the portfolio concentration limits and collateral quality tests, as described below. Fitch’s analysis focused on the Fitch stressed portfolio given the manager’s ability to reinvest principal proceeds.

The indicative portfolio presented to Fitch included 296 assets from 211 primarily high-yield (HY) obligors totaling approximately 80.0% of the target initial par amount. Additionally, there were 50 unidentified obligors with assumed characteristics that compose the remaining 20.0% of the portfolio. Fitch considered the indicative portfolio to be of similar diversity in terms of rating and recovery distributions and obligor and industry concentrations relative to recently issued CLOs.
Asset Quality

The weighted average rating of the indicative portfolio is approximately ‘B/B-’. Fitch has an explicit rating or a credit opinion for 74 obligors composing 24.4% of the portfolio par balance; ratings for 54.9% of the total portfolio were derived using Fitch’s issuer default rating (IDR) equivalency map. In addition, 20.0% of the portfolio were unidentified obligors and were indicated to be rated within the ‘B’ rating category, and 0.7% of the portfolio had neither a public rating nor a Fitch credit opinion and was treated as ‘CCC’.

Fitch considers 1.5% of the indicative portfolio to be rated in the ‘CCC’ rating category. The transaction has a 7.5% concentration limitation for permitted exposure to ‘CCC’ rated collateral (as defined by either Moody’s or S&P, separately). The exposure to ‘CCC’ assets in the Fitch stressed portfolio was increased to reach the 7.5% permitted exposure.

Asset Security

The indicative portfolio consists of 97.5% first lien senior secured loans and 2.5% second lien loans. Fitch has assigned asset-specific recovery ratings or recovery estimates to 22.9% of the indicative portfolio. For assets to which no asset-specific recovery ratings or recovery estimates have been assigned, Fitch applied the standard Fitch recovery rate assumptions for assets based in the same jurisdiction and having the same ranking in the capital structure (as determined in Fitch’s "CLOs and Corporate CDOs Rating Criteria," available at www.fitchratings.com).

Recovery Distribution

(As of Sept. 18, 2017)

The transaction’s concentration limitations specify that a minimum of 90.0% of the portfolio must consist of first lien senior secured loans (excluding first-lien last-out loans). Up to 10.0% of the portfolio may consist of first-lien last-out loans, second lien loans, and unsecured loans.
Bonds and notes are not a permitted collateral type. In its construction of the Fitch stressed portfolio, Fitch assumed 10.0% of the portfolio consists of assets with junior priority claims or no claims on the underlying security and, thus, is expected to demonstrate weak recovery prospects.

**Obligor and Industry Concentration**

The concentration limitations allow maximum exposure of 2.5% for up to three obligors. No other obligors may exceed 2.0% of the portfolio. Fitch accounted for the maximum allowable obligor concentration for the top five obligors in its construction of the Fitch stressed portfolio.

The transaction also permits concentrations of up to 15.0% in one Moody's Industry and up to 12.0% in three additional Moody's industries, with all other industry concentrations capped at 10.0%. Fitch accounted for the maximum allowable industry concentration in the top three industries in its construction of the Fitch stressed portfolio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obligor</th>
<th>Fitch Rating</th>
<th>Indicative Portfolio (%)</th>
<th>Fitch Stressed Portfolio (%)</th>
<th>Fitch Industry</th>
<th>Seniority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Business Services</td>
<td>Senior Secured Loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Food and Beverage and Tobacco</td>
<td>Senior Secured Loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>Senior Secured Loan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Computer and Electronics</td>
<td>Senior Secured Loan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Industrial and Manufacturing</td>
<td>Senior Secured Loan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Top Five Industry Concentrations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Indicative Portfolio</th>
<th>Fitch Stressed Portfolio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business Services</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer and Electronics</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaming and Leisure and Entertainment</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial and Manufacturing</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Products</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Weighted Average Life**

The indicative portfolio has a weighted average life (WAL) of approximately 5.6 years, while the transaction is initially covenanted to a nine-year maximum WAL that steps down with the passage of time. Fitch assumed a nine-year WAL in the Fitch stressed portfolio.

**Additional Portfolio Concentrations**

In addition to the permitted ‘CCC’ bucket, seniority restrictions, and industry and obligor concentrations, the documents include other notable concentration limitations. Exposures to fixed-rate assets, defeerrable securities, and debtor-in-possession loans are kept to a minimum. The issuer is not permitted to invest in bonds, notes, long-dated assets, step-up and stepdown securities, bridge loans, leases, synthetic assets, or structured finance assets.

The concentration limitations and collateral quality tests are further detailed in Appendix D, pages 19–20.

**Cash Flow Analysis**

Fitch used a customized proprietary cash flow model to replicate the principal and interest waterfalls (described in detail in Appendix C), as well as the various structural features of the transaction and to assess their effectiveness, including the structural protection provided by
excess spread diverted through the overcollateralization (OC) and interest coverage (IC) tests. The cash flow model was run using the PCM outputs for both the indicative portfolio and the Fitch stressed portfolio.

The transaction documents provide the manager with the flexibility to choose certain combinations of covenants, including the minimum weighted average spread (WAS), maximum weighted average rating factor (WARF), and minimum diversity score, toward which the portfolio will be managed. More discussion on the use of these multiple parameters as a portfolio management tool can be found in the Management to Dynamic Collateral Quality Tests section on page 8.

Interest Income

Fitch’s analysis of the indicative portfolio accounted for the actual spreads on indicative portfolio assets (including LIBOR floors) while the analysis of the Fitch stressed portfolio assumed all floating-rate assets earn 3.60% over LIBOR without additional benefit from LIBOR floors. The transaction documents permit a maximum of 5.0% fixed-rate collateral with a minimum weighted average coupon (WAC) of 7.50%. Fitch tested a portfolio comprising 100% floating-rate assets and a portfolio consisting of 95.0% floating-rate and 5.0% fixed-rate assets. The latter scenario generally resulted in the most constraining model results and, therefore, was considered as the Fitch stressed portfolio assumption.

Additionally, the Fitch stressed portfolio assumed that 5.0% of the underlying assets pay interest less frequently than quarterly. The transaction documents prohibit investments in assets that pay interest less frequently than semiannually.

OC, IC, and Interest Diversion Tests

The structure includes standard OC tests, IC tests, and an interest diversion test. Failure of an OC or IC test will result in interest or principal proceeds, as applicable, being diverted to redeem the rated notes sequentially. The IC tests will not be applicable until the second payment date.

The interest diversion test is calculated the same way as the class E OC test and is only applicable during the reinvestment period. Upon failure of this test, the lesser of 50% of the remaining interest proceeds and the required cure amount will be deposited into the collection account as principal proceeds. The coverage tests are further detailed in Appendix D, pages 19–20.

Cash Flow Model Outputs

Break-even default rates (BDRs) show the maximum portfolio default rates class A notes could withstand in stress scenarios without experiencing a loss. BDRs for class A notes were then compared with the PCM hurdle rates at the applicable rating stress.

The table on page 6 presents the lowest BDR of the nine stress scenarios in the analysis of both the indicative and Fitch stressed portfolios. Class A notes passed the ‘AAAsf’ PCM hurdle rate in all nine stress scenarios when analyzing the indicative portfolio with a minimum cushion of 14.1%. When analyzing the Fitch stressed portfolio, the class A notes passed the ‘AAAsf’ PCM hurdle rate in seven of the nine stress scenarios, with two marginal model failures of 0.9% and 0.1% below the ‘AAAsf’ rating threshold.

Given the marginal failure for the class A notes, Fitch tested the performance of these notes at a level one notch below the ‘AAAsf’ rating hurdle; the notes passed the ‘AA+sf’ PCM hurdle rate in all nine scenarios with a minimum cushion of 7.7%.
Break-Even Default Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Portfolio</th>
<th>Indicative*</th>
<th>Fitch Stressed*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Break-Even Default Rate</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumed Recovery Rate</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM Hurdle Rate</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>61.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default Cushion</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>(0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default Timing</td>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>Front/Mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIBOR</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fitch stressed portfolio based on assumed 9.0-year WAL, 55.0% floating-rate assets paying 3.60% WAS, 5.0% fixed-rate assets paying a 7.5% coupon, and maximum second lien, obligor, and industry concentrations. The indicative portfolio consists of 100% floating-rate assets.

Additionally, when testing a stressed portfolio consisting of 100% floating-rate assets, the class A notes passed the 'AAAsf' PCM hurdle rates in all nine stress scenarios with a minimum cushion of 0.6%.

Fitch was comfortable assigning an ‘AAA(EXP)sf/Stable rating to the class A notes because it believes these classes can sustain a robust level of defaults, combined with low recoveries, as well as other factors, such as the strong performance of these classes in the sensitivity scenarios and the degree of cushion in the performance of these classes when analyzing the indicative portfolio.

Rating Sensitivity

In addition to its analysis of the indicative and Fitch stressed portfolios, Fitch analyzed the notes’ sensitivity to the potential variability of key model assumptions. The rating sensitivity analysis is based on the Fitch stressed portfolio. These sensitivities only describe the model-implied impact of a change in one or more of the input variables. This is designed to provide information about the sensitivity of the rating to key model assumptions. It should not be used as an indicator of possible future performance. The key model assumptions analyzed are described in the following sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating Sensitivity</th>
<th>Class A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rating Sensitivity to Default Probability (DP) – 125% DP Multiplier</td>
<td>AAsf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating Sensitivity to DP – 150% DP Multiplier</td>
<td>A+sf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating Sensitivity to Recovery Rates (RRs) – 75% RR Multiplier</td>
<td>AAsf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating Sensitivity to RRs – 50% RR Multiplier</td>
<td>A+sf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating Sensitivity to Combined Stress – 125% DP Multiplier and 75% RR Multiplier</td>
<td>A+sf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rating Sensitivity to Default Probability

Multipliers of 125% and 150% are applied to the mean RDR, with the increase in the mean RDR added to all other rating level RDRs.

Rating Sensitivity to Recovery Rates

Multipliers of 75% and 50% are applied to the RRR for all rating levels.
Rating Sensitivity to Combined Stress

A 125% default probability multiplier and 75% recovery rate multiplier, as described above, are applied.

Portfolio Management

The transaction will have an approximately five-year reinvestment period. Discretionary sales are permitted at any time (other than during a restricted trading period), subject to certain conditions, and are limited to 30% of the portfolio during the preceding 12-month period (as measured by the portfolio balance at the beginning of such 12-month period). The collateral manager will be permitted to sell defaulted assets, equity securities, and credit-risk and credit-improved obligations at any time.

After the reinvestment period, the manager may reinvest proceeds from the sale of credit-risk obligations, as well as unscheduled principal payments, subject to certain conditions as outlined in the Conditions to Reinvestment table below. Reinvestment after the reinvestment period must occur within the longer of (x) 45 business days after receipt of the applicable proceeds and (y) the last day of the related collection period.

Management to Dynamic Collateral Quality Tests

The minimum WAS, maximum WARF, and minimum diversity score covenants are subject to a Moody’s asset quality matrix. The initial matrix point will be selected on or prior to the effective date and, thereafter, can be changed by the investment manager at any time provided that: (i) if the portfolio is in compliance with all three tests, it will continue to be in compliance with all three tests at the new matrix point; or (ii) if the portfolio is not in compliance with all three tests or would not be in compliance with all three tests at any other matrix point, the degree of noncompliance with each test must be maintained or improved at the new matrix point.

Fitch views several factors as mitigating the risk presented by the multitude of potential asset-quality parameters presented by the Moody’s matrix. First, the construction of the matrix is designed to allow for manager flexibility through various market scenarios while maintaining similar overall portfolio-risk characteristics. Consequently, the introduction of additional portfolio risk, such as lower average credit quality, should be mitigated with an offsetting aspect, such as a higher spread and/or portfolio diversity. Additionally, Fitch has assessed the collateral manager and is comfortable with its ability to adequately manage the portfolio in accordance with terms of the transaction documents. Finally, Fitch has tested various sensitivity scenarios, as discussed herein, which highlight the strong performance of the notes under various stressful scenarios.
Conditions to Reinvestment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>During Reinvestment Period</th>
<th>After Reinvestment Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of Proceeds:</td>
<td>Type of Proceeds:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled/Unscheduled</td>
<td>Unscheduled Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Payments,</td>
<td>Payments and Volker Rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discretionary Sales,</td>
<td>Dispositions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit-Improved Sales and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Other Sales Proceeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Proceeds: Credit-Risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and Defaulted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obligations Sales</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturation, or if failing, maintaining or improving</td>
<td>The WARF test will be satisfied and either (x) the WAL test will be satisfied or (y) the reinvestment condition will be satisfied and the WAL test will be maintained or improved. All other tests: satisfaction, or if failing, maintaining or improving.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration Limitations</td>
<td>Satisfaction, or if failing, maintaining or improving. Proceeds from default obligations may not be reinvested unless each coverage test is satisfied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturation, or if failing, maintaining or improving</td>
<td>Each coverage test must be satisfied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage Tests</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturity Requirements</td>
<td>The stated maturity of the new obligation must be the same or earlier than that of the related prepaid or sold credit risk obligation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par Amount Requirements</td>
<td>Either (i) APB of all collateral shall be maintained or increased, or (ii) APB of all collateral and principal proceeds shall be greater than the RTPB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either (i) APB of collateral purchased with sale proceeds will at least equal such sale proceeds, (ii) APB of all collateral shall be maintained or increased, (iii) APCFA is maintained or increased, or (iv) APB of all collateral and principal proceeds shall be greater than the RTPB.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating Requirements</td>
<td>The Moody’s and S&amp;P ratings must be the same or higher than those of the related prepaid or sold credit risk obligation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted Trading Period</td>
<td>A restricted trading period must not be in effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amend and Extend</td>
<td>The manager may consent to a maturity extension of a collateral obligation only if (i) the extended maturity is no later than the stated maturity of the notes, and (ii) the WAL test will be satisfied, or if failing, maintained or improved after giving effect to such maturity extension and any identified Reinvestments, provided that the WAL test shall not apply if the maturity extension is a Credit Amendment (subject to a 7.5% cumulative cap) or in connection with a bankruptcy/reorganization or debt restructuring (subject to a 2.5% cumulative cap).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.A. – Not applicable. APB – Aggregate principal balance. RTPB – Reinvestment target par balance. ACPA – Adjusted collateral principal amount. WARF – Weighted average rating factor. WAL – Weighted average life. Reinvestment condition – A condition satisfied if the WAL of the collateral (excluding defaulted) for each of the last 5 business days of the reinvestment period is less than or equal to 4.00 and on no business day was such WAL greater than 4.05. Notes: Conditions to reinvestment outlined above assume additional assets meet the definition of a collateral obligation as defined in the indenture. Reinvestments must not cause a retention deficiency. Identified reinvestments are a series of reinvestments occurring within a 10-business day period to which the investment criteria above are applied as whole, rather than to any single reinvestment in that period (subject to certain conditions). A credit amendment is any maturity amendment which, in the collateral manager's judgement, is necessary to (i) prevent the collateral obligation from becoming a defaulted obligation, or (ii) to minimize material losses on the collateral obligation due to its material adverse financial condition.

Additional Structural Features

Class S1, S2, and P Notes

Class S1, S2, and P notes will not bear a stated interest nor receive any stated principal. Instead, payments to class S1 and S2 notes will be based on a percentage of the fee basis amount, and class P notes will receive residual proceeds as specified in Appendix C. The manager is expected to transfer all or a portion of these notes to Napier Park Global Capital in consideration for structuring and advisory services provided.

Trading Gains

The ability to designate trading gains as interest proceeds is to aid flexibility upon noncompliance with European risk retention rules if, in the manager’s discretion, depositing such investment gains into the collection account as principal proceeds would cause a retention deficiency. The transaction defines trading gains as any excess of principal proceeds or sale proceeds received from the repayment, prepayment, redemption, or sale of any asset over the greater of such asset’s purchase price or principal balance, in each case, net of expenses. The manager may designate trading gains
as interest proceeds if (a) the collateral principal amount is at least equal to the reinvestment target par balance and, after giving effect to such transfer, the weighted average rating factor and weighted average life test are satisfied and (b) depositing such trading gains into the principal collection account would cause a retention deficiency.

A retention deficiency occurs if the aggregate outstanding amount of subordinated notes held by the retention holder is less than 5.0% of the retention basis amount. The retention holder is expected to retain all of the subordinated notes on the closing date.

Designating trading gains as interest proceeds limits the build-up of portfolio par by releasing such gains through the interest waterfall rather than using the proceeds for reinvestment or repayment of the notes. This mechanism effectively transfers the market value gains from the structure to the manager and equity holders. Fitch views this feature to be credit neutral because at most sales proceeds in excess of par can be considered trading gains. This ensures that the total portfolio balance will not be reduced for the designation of trading gains. Fitch's analysis considers the target initial par amount of the transaction, or $500 million, without any credit for potential par-building.

Consequently, the release of trading gains via these provisions does not affect Fitch’s analysis but should be noted by investors.

**Additional Notes**

During the reinvestment period, if no EOD has occurred and is continuing, and with written consent of the manager and the retention holder, the issuer may issue additional notes of existing classes (other than the class S1, S2, and P notes). Subordinated notes and junior mezzanine notes may be issued at any time. Proceeds from any such issuance shall be treated as principal proceeds and used to purchase additional collateral and/or eligible investments, or applied pursuant to the priority of payments. In the case of an additional issuance of only subordinated notes and/or junior mezzanine notes, proceeds may be used for one or more permitted uses.

In the event of an additional issuance of any one or more classes of notes, the following conditions must be met, inter alia:

- Unless the manager determines the issuance is required to achieve compliance with U.S. risk retention rules, additional issuances of existing classes must be issued on a pro rata basis for each class of notes, except that a larger proportion of subordinated notes may be issued.
- The retention holder must purchase sufficient subordinated notes to maintain at least 5% of the retention basis amount.
- Issuance cannot exceed 100% of the original principal amount of the applicable class or classes of secured notes, provided that this clause will not apply to the subordinated notes if such additional issuance is required to prevent or cure a retention deficiency, or if the manager determines that such issuance is required to achieve compliance with U.S. risk retention rules.
- No additional issuance shall be senior to the class A notes, and, in the case of additional issuance of any class A notes or any additional class of notes that is pari passu with the class A notes, prior written consent of a majority of the class A noteholders shall be obtained.
- The degree of compliance with each OC test is maintained or improved after giving effect to such issuance.
- Terms of any new notes must be identical to those of the previously issued notes of the same class, except for the interest rate, which may not exceed the interest rate of the original notes of such class.
These provisions should ensure that class A notes will not be subject to a reduced subordination or OC available to such notes pursuant to an additional note issuance. However, it is possible to issue additional notes of an existing class into either a floating- or fixed-rate note, independent of the original coupon type of such class. Provisions for such issuance would follow the same mechanics as above, which means that the cost of funding at the time of issuance must not be increased as a result of such issuance. Such additional issuance could result in additional credit risk because the overall cost of capital could increase in certain interest rate scenarios. Furthermore, such additional issuance may result in interest rate mismatches between the notes and the underlying collateral. Fitch will evaluate the impact of any additional issuance at the time of such occurrence.

Optional Redemption

The transaction features standard optional redemption provisions that may be undertaken after the noncall period, at the written direction of the manager or a majority of the subordinated noteholders (with consent of the manager). If sales proceeds from the underlying collateral are to be used pursuant to an optional redemption, all rated classes of notes must be redeemed in whole but not in part, at their applicable redemption prices (full principal plus accrued interest). The notes may not be redeemed via the sale of any assets unless such sale proceeds, in addition to any other proceeds available for the redemption, are sufficient to pay the redemption price of all secured notes, plus all administrative expenses and any other amounts payable prior to repayment of the notes.

Fitch's credit view on the optional redemption provisions is neutral, since repayment in whole of all classes is a prerequisite to such redemption.

Refinancing

The transaction also features standard refinancing provisions that may be undertaken after the noncall period at the direction of the manager or a majority of subordinated noteholders (with consent of the manager). Refinancing proceeds may be used to effect a redemption of all secured notes, as long as total proceeds are sufficient to repay all the redemption prices and other fees and expenses payable prior to redeeming the notes. The secured notes can also be redeemed in part by class from refinancing proceeds and partial redemption interest proceeds (so long as any class to be redeemed represents the entire class).

In the case of a refinancing of any one or more classes of notes the following conditions must be met, inter alia:

- The refinancing proceeds, partial redemption interest proceeds and other available proceeds are sufficient to pay the redemption prices of the applicable class(es).
- The aggregate principal amount of any obligations providing the refinancing is equal to the aggregate outstanding amount of the notes being refinanced.
- The obligations providing the refinancing have a stated maturity equal to that of the corresponding notes being refinanced.
- The obligations providing the refinancing are subject to the priority of payments and do not rank higher in priority than the corresponding class being refinanced.
- The refinancing obligations providing the refinancing have a spread over LIBOR that does not exceed the spread over LIBOR of the notes being refinanced, provided that (a) such spread may be greater if the weighted average spread over LIBOR of the refinancing obligations does not exceed the weighted average spread over LIBOR of the notes being refinanced, and (b) any floating-rate class may be refinanced using fixed-rate obligations if
the refinancing obligations bear interest at a fixed rate that is less than the spread over LIBOR of such class, together with LIBOR as of the most recent determination date.

- The refinancing will not cause the manager to violate the U.S. risk retention rules or the EU retention requirements.

A partial refinancing could result in additional credit risk for the noteholders because (i) although the weighted average spread over LIBOR of the refinancing obligations must be less than or equal to that of the notes being refinanced, individual refinancing obligations may have an interest rate that is greater than the respective existing obligations being refinanced and (ii) refinancing a floating-rate note using fixed-rate replacement notes could increase the overall cost of capital in certain interest rate scenarios. In addition, such partial refinancing may result in interest rate mismatches between the notes and underlying assets. Fitch would expect to analyze any impact of a partial refinancing and make comments or adjustments to ratings as appropriate at such time a partial refinancing is proposed.

Repricing

After the noncall period, a majority of the subordinated noteholders (with consent of the manager) or the manager may direct the issuer to reduce the spread over LIBOR for any class of floating rate notes (other than class A notes) or reduce the interest rate applicable to any fixed-rate notes (if applicable). Any repricing may be withdrawn by a majority of the subordinated noteholders or the manager on any day up to and including the second business day prior to the scheduled repricing date.

Holders who do not deliver written consent to the repricing notice at least seven business days prior to the specified repricing date are deemed to be nonconsenting holders. If less than all holders of the applicable class agree to the repricing, then those holders who do agree to such repricing will be given the opportunity to purchase notes from the nonconsenting holders. In the event of oversubscription, the issuer or a repricing intermediary will sell the nonconsenting notes (or repricing replacement notes) to the consenting noteholders on a pro rata basis, based on the amount of notes each consenting holder desires to purchase. In the event of undersubscription, the issuer or a repricing intermediary will sell the remaining nonconsenting notes (or repricing replacement notes) to one or more transferees.

Fitch expects a repricing would be a credit-neutral event at worst and a modest credit-positive event at best, since any reduction in spread or interest rate would result in a lower cost of funding to the CLO and a potential increase in the amount of excess spread that would be available for note redemptions following a coverage test failure. Fitch would expect to analyze any impact of a repricing and make comments or adjustments to ratings as appropriate.

Repurchased/Surrendered Notes

No notes may be surrendered except for payment as provided in the indenture or for transfer or exchange. While the co-issuers may not repurchase any notes using principal proceeds, contributions may be applied during the reinvestment period to repurchase the most senior class outstanding.

These provisions should eliminate the possibility of utilizing note cancellations or repurchases to artificially improve the performance of OC ratios by reducing the denominator in the amount of the canceled or repurchased notes.
Events of Default: Undercollateralization

On any measurement date on which class A notes remain outstanding, an event of default (EOD) will occur if the ratio of the aggregate principal balance of the portfolio (with defaulted assets carried at market value) plus principal proceeds to the aggregate outstanding amount of class A notes is less than 102.5%. If an EOD occurs under this clause, holders of a majority of the class A notes may direct the sale and liquidation of the portfolio.

Counterparty Risk

Collateral Manager

The transaction will be managed by RLM, an affiliate of Napier Park Global Capital (Napier Park). RLM is dependent on support from Napier Park, as outlined in the staff and services agreement between the two parties. Therefore, as part of its analysis, Fitch evaluated Napier Park and determined its capabilities satisfactory in the context of the ratings assigned to the transaction and the investment parameters that govern its activities.

As compensation for managing the portfolio, the manager will receive senior and subordinated management fees of 6 bps and 9 bps per annum, respectively, as well as an incentive management fee of 5% of remaining proceeds once the subordinated securities achieve a 12% internal rate of return. When combined with the note payment amounts due under class S1 (14 bps), class S2 (21 bps), and class P notes (15% of remaining proceeds once the subordinated notes achieve a 12% internal rate of return), the aggregate management fees are mostly in line with those of recent CLOs. The fee arrangements would be an important factor in facilitating the replacement of the manager if this becomes necessary for any reason.

Hedge Counterparties

The secured notes and most of the indicative portfolio assets reference the same index, minimizing basis risk. No hedging strategies are included in the analysis at this time. Fitch would evaluate any credit implications of future entry into a hedge agreement at such time.

Other Counterparties

Provisions for the eligible investments to be purchased with intra-period interest and principal collections, as well as the rating requirements of the institutions at which the issuer’s various bank accounts will be established, are expected to conform to Fitch’s counterparty criteria for supporting note ratings of up to ‘AAAsf’. Eligible investments are required to mature or be putable at par prior to the next payment date. Requirements for other counterparties, such as the trustee, also conform to Fitch criteria.

Transaction and Legal Structure

The notes will be issued by Regatta VIII Funding Ltd. and Regatta VIII Funding LLC, which are bankruptcy-remote, special-purpose vehicles organized under the laws of the Cayman Islands and Delaware, respectively. The rated notes are secured by the underlying portfolio of assets. Payments on the notes will be made quarterly, commencing in April 2018.
Structured Finance

Transaction Structure

Regatta Loan Management LLC
(Collateral Manager)

Regatta VIII Funding Ltd./LLC
(Issuer/Co-Issuer)

U.S. Bank, National Association
(Trustee and Collateral Administrator)

Class A Notes
Class B Notes
Class C Notes
Class D Notes
Class E Notes
Subordinated Notes

Loan Portfolio
$500 Million H-Y Loans

Principal and Interest
Note Proceeds

Date of Loans to Issuer
Note Proceeds (for Loan Purchase)

Source: Transaction documents.

Regulatory Matters

Volcker Rule

The transaction documents contain provisions designed to address Section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Volcker Rule). According to the documents, the issuer will initially rely on section 3(c)(7) of the U.S. Investment Company Act of 1940 for its exemption from registration as an investment company, possibly causing the issuer to be considered a covered fund and, thus, subject to the Volcker Rule.

To address Volcker Rule concerns, the transaction does not permit the purchase of bonds, letters or credit or other securities. The issuer intends to qualify for the loan securitization exclusion.

Risk Retention

The transaction features provisions intended to achieve compliance with both European and U.S. risk retention regulations. The manager is expected to retain subordinated notes in an amount sufficient to satisfy the minimum retention requirements per both jurisdictions’ regulations. The retention method is expected to constitute an “eligible horizontal residual interest.” The manager will act as originator for the purpose of satisfying European risk retention requirements.

Disclaimer

For the avoidance of doubt, Fitch relies, in its credit analysis, on legal and/or tax opinions provided by transaction counsel. As Fitch has always made clear, Fitch does not provide legal and/or tax advice or confirm that the legal and/or tax opinions or any other transaction documents or any transaction structures are sufficient for any purpose. The disclaimer at the foot of this report makes it clear that this report does not constitute legal, tax and/or structuring advice from Fitch and should not be used or interpreted as legal, tax, and/or structuring advice from Fitch. Should readers of this report need legal, tax and/or structuring advice, they are urged to contact relevant advisers in the relevant jurisdictions.
Criteria Application, Model and Data Adequacy

Criteria Application

The key criteria report utilized in the rating of this transaction is titled “CLOs and Corporate CDOs Rating Criteria,” available on Fitch’s website at www.fitchratings.com. Additional criteria used in Fitch’s analysis are listed on page 1.

Model

The modeling analysis followed a two-step process. First, Fitch analyzed the portfolio’s default and recovery probabilities using its PCM. Second, Fitch analyzed the structure using its proprietary cash flow model, as customized for the transaction’s specific structural features, both in accordance with the CLO and corporate CDO criteria.

Data Adequacy

Fitch utilized publicly available information to provide credit opinions on 19.5% of the indicative portfolio. In addition, Fitch publicly rates 4.2% and privately rates 0.7% of the indicative portfolio. The information utilized in Fitch’s analysis is as of Sept. 18, 2017.

Fitch’s credit opinions, recovery ratings, and recovery estimates are produced by the Corporates group and reviewed by a credit committee.

Performance Analytics

Fitch will monitor the transaction regularly and as warranted by events with a review. Events that may trigger a review include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Asset defaults, paying particular attention to restructurings and recoveries.
- Portfolio migration, including assets being downgraded to ‘CCC’ or portions of the portfolio being placed on Rating Watch Negative or Rating Outlook Negative.
- OC or IC test breach.
- Breach of concentration limitations or portfolio quality covenants.
- Issuance of any additional notes.
- Future changes to Fitch’s rating criteria.

Surveillance analysis is conducted on the basis of the then-current portfolio. Fitch’s goal is to ensure that the assigned ratings remain an appropriate reflection of the issued notes’ credit risk. Details of the transaction’s performance are available to subscribers on Fitch’s website at www.fitchratings.com.
Appendix A: Transaction Overview

Regatta VII Funding Ltd./LLC

Capital Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Expected Rating</th>
<th>Expected Rating Outlook</th>
<th>Size (%)</th>
<th>Size ($ Mil.)</th>
<th>CE (%)*</th>
<th>Interest Rate (%)</th>
<th>PMT Freq.</th>
<th>Final Maturity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>AAAAsf</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>322.50</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>3% + 1.25</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Oct. 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>NRsf</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>57.50</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>3% + 1.70</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Oct. 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>NRsf</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>3% + 2.20</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Oct. 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>NRsf</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>3% + 3.20</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Oct. 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>NRsf</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3% + 4.10</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Oct. 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subordinated Notes</td>
<td>NRsf</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>51.60</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>Oct. 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>511.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on the target par amount of $500.0 million. Includes class S1, S2 and P notes. Transaction documents provide mechanisms to replace LIBOR with an alternative rate under certain scenarios that involve consent of a majority of the controlling class at the discretion of the CLO manager. NR – Not rated. N.A. – Not applicable. 3ML – Three-month LIBOR.

Scheduled Revolving Period: 5.1 Years
Scheduled Noncall Period: 2.1 Years

Key Information

Details:
Closing Date: 9/27/17
Country of Assets and Type: U.S. Leveraged Loans
Country of SPV: Cayman Islands and U.S.
Primary Analyst: Aaron Hughes +1 312 366-2074
Secondary Analyst: Kevin Lycos +1 312 366-2072

Parties:
Arranger and Initial Purchaser: J.P. Morgan Securities LLC
Trustee and Collateral Administrator: U.S. Bank, National Association
Collateral Manager: Regatta Loan Management LLC
Issuer and Co-Issuer: Regatta VII Funding Ltd. and Regatta VIII Funding LLC

Key Rating Drivers

Sufficient Credit Enhancement: Credit enhancement (CE) of 35.5% for class A notes. In addition to excess spread, is sufficient to protect against portfolio default and recovery rate projections in the ‘AAAsf’ stress scenarios. The degree of CE available to class A notes is below the average CE of recent "AAAsf" CLO issuances; however, cash flow modeling results indicate performance in line with other CLO notes rated ‘AAAsf’ by Fitch Ratings.

‘B/B-’ Asset Quality: The average credit quality of the indicative portfolio is ‘B/B-’ which is comparable with recent CLOs. Issuers rated in the ‘B’ rating category denote a highly speculative credit quality; however, in Fitch Ratings’ opinion, class A notes are unlikely to be affected by the foreseeable level of defaults. Class A notes are projected to be able to withstand default rates of up to 60.5%.

Strong Recovery Expectations: The indicative portfolio consists of 57.5% first lien senior secured loans and 25.5% second lien loans. Approximately 90.8% of the indicative portfolio has strong recovery prospects or a Fitch-assigned recovery rating of ‘RR2’ or higher, resulting in a base case recovery assumption of 72.6%. In determining the class A notes rating, Fitch stressed the indicative portfolio by assuming a higher portfolio concentration of assets with lower recovery prospects and further reduced recovery assumptions for higher rating stresses, resulting in a 37.1% recovery rate in Fitch’s ‘AAAsf’ scenario.
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Napier Park Global Capital (U.S.) LP, (as Services Provider for CLOs Issued by Regatta Loan Management LLC)

Key Considerations

- Napier Park has entered into a staff and services agreement and a structuring and advisory services agreement with RLM, the retention holder for the purposes of risk retention requirements.
- Napier Park has stability in terms of senior portfolio management. These managers average more than 22 years’ experience in the loan market and have worked together as a team for over 15 years.

Company

- Through the staff and services agreement, Napier Park provides credit research, risk management services, a legal and compliance team, a finance team, technology, reporting, loan execution and certain other middle- and back-office support on an exclusive basis to RLM-managed CLOs.
- RLM was established by Napier Park in response to risk retention regulations. RLM’s primary business consists of acting as collateral manager for CLO transactions and related warehouse facilities and as a holder of CLO retention interests in both the U.S. and Europe.
- Napier Park managed USD3.2 billion in CLO vehicles and another USD8.0 billion in different leveraged loan vehicles as of Dec. 31, 2016. In August 2011, Napier Park assumed the management contracts of four Duence Street CLOs from DiMeo Ahmad Capital LLC.
- Senior portfolio managers have an average of 23 years’ corporate loan experience and have worked together for over 15 years.
- In addition to portfolio managers, the CLOs are supported by seven credit analysts with an average of 13 years’ experience.

Investments

- Napier Park has an active portfolio management strategy focusing on principal preservation, supplemented by continuous evaluation of relative value and market standards.
- The investment committee consists of three senior managing directors. Portfolio reviews and investment decisions are driven from bottom-up credit analysis supported by proprietary research.
- Watch list analysis includes perception of risk and potential for loss with a focus on financial performance, liquidity, industry deterioration and management dynamics.
- There is a formalized ongoing surveillance through daily review of relevant news related to names in the portfolio, the general economic and loan environment, price movements, relative value, industry developments and cash positions.

Controls

- Automated daily credit-risk monitoring process to track portfolio positions and key risks.
- The company has multiple levels of review and oversight to support accuracy of trading, portfolio management and administration functions.
- Investment risk and CLO performance are monitored through daily reports received by Virtus.
- Risk, valuation, fiduciary, new product and technology steering committees provide comprehensive oversight and governance.

Operations

- Portfolio management and credit analysis are conducted fully in-house, supplemented by the use of third-party analytical resources, including Bloomberg, CDO Suite, ALPS and Geneva.
- Reporting services to investors are transparent, investor-centric and well aligned to underlying asset classes, providing historical data as well as risk analytics.
- There is an established relationship with Virtus and the trustee for a seamless loan processing platform.

Technology

- An integrated and flexible platform is based on a combination of proprietary analytics and third-party administration systems, including widely accepted industry systems such as CDO Suite, ALPS and Virtus.
- Front- to middle-office position monitoring and order management systems are efficient and robust.
- The business continuity plan is appropriate and tested annually.
## Appendix C: Priority of Payments

### Waterfalls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interest Waterfall</th>
<th>Principal Waterfall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. First, taxes and governmental fees; second, administrative expenses (subject to a cap of 0.0175% + $250,000 p.a.)</td>
<td>1. First, taxes and governmental fees; second, administrative expenses (subject to a cap of 0.0175% + $250,000 p.a.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Senior management fee (0.06% p.a.) and deferred senior management fee, provided that any deferred senior management fee payment will not result in a failure to pay in full any payment to hedge counterparties or interest on the class A and class B notes.</td>
<td>2. Senior management fee (0.06% p.a.) and deferred senior management fee, provided that any deferred senior management fee payment will not result in a failure to pay in full any payment to hedge counterparties or interest on the class A and class B notes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Class S1 note payment amount (0.14% p.a.) and deferred S1 note payment amount, provided that payment of any deferred payment amount will not result in a failure to pay in full any payment to hedge counterparties or interest on the class A and class B notes.</td>
<td>3. Class S1 note payment amount (0.14% p.a.) and deferred S1 note payment amount, provided that payment of any deferred payment amount will not result in a failure to pay in full any payment to hedge counterparties or interest on the class A and class B notes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Any hedge payments and hedge termination payments.</td>
<td>4. Any hedge payments and hedge termination payments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Class A interest.</td>
<td>5. Class A interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Class B interest.</td>
<td>6. Class B interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Class A/B coverage tests.</td>
<td>7. Class A/B coverage tests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. First, class C interest; second, class C deferred interest.</td>
<td>8. Class C coverage tests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Class C coverage tests</td>
<td>9. Class D coverage tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. First, class D interest; second, class D deferred interest.</td>
<td>10. Class E coverage test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Class D coverage tests.</td>
<td>11. If class C is controlling class: first, class C interest; second, class C deferred interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. First, class E interest; second, class E deferred interest.</td>
<td>12. If class D is controlling class: first, class D interest; second, class D deferred interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Class E coverage test.</td>
<td>13. If class E is controlling class: first, class E interest; second, class E deferred interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. During the reinvestment period only, if the interest diversion test is not satisfied, the lesser of (i) 50% of remaining interest proceeds or (ii) the required cure amount to be used for the purchase of additional collateral or invest in eligible investments.</td>
<td>14. If effective date ratings confirmation has not been obtained, to the payment of the rating confirmation redemption amount, in accordance with the note payment sequence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. If effective date ratings confirmation has not been obtained, to the payment of the rating confirmation redemption amount, in accordance with the note payment sequence.</td>
<td>15. On any special redemption date, the special redemption amount in accordance with the note payment sequence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Subordinated management fees (0.06% p.a.), plus any deferred subordinated management fees.</td>
<td>16. During the reinvestment period only, to purchase additional collateral or invest in eligible investments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Class S2 note payment amount (0.21% p.a.) and deferred S2 note payment amount.</td>
<td>17. After the reinvestment period, to make payments in accordance with the note payment sequence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Unpaid administrative expenses.</td>
<td>16. After the reinvestment period, subordinated management fees (0.06% p.a.), plus any deferred subordinated management fees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Unpaid hedge payments and hedge termination payments.</td>
<td>19. After the reinvestment period, class S2 note payment amount (0.21% p.a.) and deferred S2 note payment amount.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. At the direction of the collateral manager, the supplemental reserve amount.</td>
<td>20. After the reinvestment period, to pay any unpaid administrative expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. To pay the subordinated notes until an IRR of 12% is achieved.</td>
<td>21. After the reinvestment period, to pay unpaid hedge payments and hedge termination payments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Pro rata, (i) 15% of the remaining interest proceeds to pay the class P notes and (ii) 5% of the remaining interest proceeds to the collateral manager as the incentive fee amount.</td>
<td>22. To pay the subordinated notes until an IRR of 12% is achieved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Remainder to the subordinated notes.</td>
<td>23. Pro rata, (i) 15% of the remaining proceeds to pay the class P notes and (ii) 5% of the remaining proceeds to the collateral manager as the incentive fee amount.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Remainder to the subordinated notes.</td>
<td>24. Remainder to the subordinated notes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P.A. – Per annum. IRR – Internal rate of return. Note payment sequence: (i) class A principal, (ii) class B principal, (iii) class C interest and deferred interest, (iv) class C principal, (v) class D interest and deferred interest, (vi) class D principal, (vii) class E interest and deferred interest, (viii) class E principal. Class S1 and S2 notes do not pay interest or principal. S1 and S2 note payment amounts are equal to 1.4bps and 2.1bps, respectively, of the note basis amount at the beginning of each collection period.
## Appendix D: Collateral Quality Tests, Concentration Limitations, and Coverage Tests

### Notable Concentration Limitations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum % of Senior Secured Loans and Eligible Investments</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Second Lien Loans, First-Lien Last-Out Loans and Unsecured Loans</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Each of the Top Three Obligors</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside the Top Three Obligors, Maximum % of Each Obligor</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Second Lien Loans, First-Lien Last-Out Loans and Unsecured Loans from a Single Obligor</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Largest Moody's Industry</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside the Largest Industry, Maximum % of Next Three Moody's Industries</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside the Top Four Moody's Industries, Maximum % of Single Moody's Industry</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Securities Rated 'CCC+' or Below by S&amp;P</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Securities Rated 'CaA1' or Below by Moody's</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Fixed-Rate Assets</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Assets That Pay Less Frequently than Quarterly</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Covenant-Life Loans</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum % of U.S. Obligors</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Current-Pay Assets</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of DIP Collateral Obligations</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Participation Interests</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Assets Issued by Issuer with Total Indebtedness Between $150 Million and $250 Million</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Assets Purchased at a Price Equal to or Above 50% and Below 60% of Par</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Revolving Collateral Obligations and Unfunded Delayed Drawdown Collateral Obligations</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notable Prohibited Asset Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Bonds, Notes or Other Securities</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Letter of Credit</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Long Dated Assets</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Assets that Pay Less Frequently than Semiannually</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Interest-Only Securities and Zero Coupon Bonds</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Step-Up, Step-Down Obligations and Leases</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Structured Finance Obligations and Synthetic Securities</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Margin Stock</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Small Obligor Loans* and Bridge Loans</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Obligors with a GICS Industry of “Tobacco”</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % Equity Securities (or Convertible into an Equity Security)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum % of Obligations Purchased at a Price Below the Minimum Price*</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Small Obligor Loans are loans issued by an issuer with Total Indebtedness Below $150 Million. Minimum Price is the Lesser of (i) 50% of the Par Value of the Collateral Obligation and (ii) 50% of the latest average bid price of the Leveraged Loan Index.

### Collateral Quality Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Weighted Average Spread (at Close %)</td>
<td>3.60, Subject to Matrix and a Minimum of 2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Weighted Average Coupon (%)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Weighted Average Life (Years)</td>
<td>9.0 (Declining)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Moody’s Weighted Average Recovery Rate (%)</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Moody’s Weighted Average Rating Factor (at Close)*</td>
<td>27:10, Subject to Matrix and a maximum of 3250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Moody’s Diversity Score (at Close)</td>
<td>75, Subject to Matrix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D: Collateral Quality Tests, Concentration Limitations and Coverage Tests (continued)

## Coverage Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Trigger (%)</th>
<th>Definition*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>A</strong> divided by <strong>A</strong> + <strong>B</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class A/B</td>
<td>121.56</td>
<td>ACPA divided by <strong>A</strong> + <strong>B</strong> + <strong>C</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>115.46</td>
<td>ACPA divided by <strong>A</strong> + <strong>B</strong> + <strong>C</strong> + <strong>D</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>108.94</td>
<td>ACPA divided by <strong>A</strong> + <strong>B</strong> + <strong>C</strong> + <strong>D</strong> + <strong>E</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class E</td>
<td>104.70</td>
<td>ACPA divided by <strong>A</strong> + <strong>B</strong> + <strong>C</strong> + <strong>D</strong> + <strong>E</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Interest Diversion Test

| Interest Diversion Test | 105.20 | ACPA divided by **A** + **B** + **C** + **D** + **E** |

### IC

| Class A/B          | 120.00 | Interest proceeds and expected interest income minus senior expenses, divided by interest due to class A notes and B notes (excluding deferred interest, but including interest on deferred interest) |
| Class C            | 110.00 | Interest proceeds and expected interest income minus senior expenses, divided by interest due to class A notes, B and C notes (excluding deferred interest, but including interest on deferred interest) |
| Class D            | 105.00 | Interest proceeds and expected interest income minus senior expenses, divided by interest due to class A notes, B, C and D notes (excluding deferred interest, but including interest on deferred interest) |

### Par Value EOD

| Par Value EOD      | 102.50 | Aggregate principal balance of the collateral portfolio (with defaulted assets treated at market value) plus principal proceeds divided by the class A principal amount outstanding |

* A equals class A principal amounts outstanding, B equals class B principal amounts outstanding, C equals class C principal and deferred interest amounts outstanding, D equals class D principal and deferred interest amounts outstanding, and E equals class E principal and deferred interest amounts outstanding. Note: Adjusted collateral principal amount (ACPA) equals aggregate principal balance of assets plus principal cash. In the ACPA calculation, assets are generally included at their par value, except for: deferred securities, the Moody’s collateral value. Defaulted assets, if defaulted < three years, Moody’s collateral value; if defaulted > three years, zero. Discount obligations: purchase price multiplied by principal balance. The excess of the greater of (i) assets rated ‘Caan’ or below by Moody’s in excess of 7.5% of portfolio and (ii) assets rated ‘CCC+’ or below by S&P in excess of 7.5% of portfolio included at lower of market value and par. Long dated assets are given no credit. 
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